



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/428,125	10/26/1999	VISHNU K. AGARWAL	MI22-1299 4264		
21567	7590 10/01/2004		EXAMINER		
WELLS ST.		ROSE, KIESHA L			
601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DI OILIND,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		2822		
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/428,12	5	AGARWAL ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kiesha L. I		2822				
Period for	 The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply 	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C (SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the ply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. a reply within the statu period will apply and will statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	.						
2a)□ ⁻	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
(closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	on of Claims			•				
4) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are pending in the appli	ication.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38,42,43 and 46-53</u> is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>56-59</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election re	equirement.					
Application	on Papers	,						
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) 🗌 T	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P1	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	•			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
·	application from the International B	•		a iii aiio raaionai	Otage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			·					
Attachment(1 · ·							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	0)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)			
	No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/2/04</u> .	117	6) Other:	Liver Street Street				

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the RCE filed 2 September 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 56 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuda et al. in view of Roh (U.S. Patent 5,783,253).

Matsuda discloses a capacitor (Fig. 1d), which contains a lower electrode (2) and an upper electrode (4) with two dielectric layers (5,8) formed there between on an entire capacitor dielectric region consisting of essentially the composite of the two dielectric materials. The two dielectric layers are crystalline and since the dielectric layers are made from the same material they will have the characteristics that make the crystalline layers have a lateral shift in grain boundaries from one layer to the other with one of the dielectric layers has a thickness from 10% to 90% of the combined thickness.

Matsuda discloses all of the limitations except for the dielectric materials to be of a titanate compound. Whereas Roh discloses a capacitor (Fig. 1e), which contains a first electrode (4) and a second electrode (8) with two immediately juxtaposed and contacting barium strontium titanate (BST) dielectric layers (6, 7). The two dielectric

Art Unit: 2822

constants are formed of BST because they consist of high dielectric constants, which improve the capacitor device. (Column 3, lines 1-3) Since Matsuda and Roh are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Roh would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Matsuda. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the capacitor of Matsuda device by incorporating two dielectric layers made of a titanate compound because it has a high dielectric constant which improves the capacitor device as taught by Roh.

Claim 57 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuda and Roh in view of Fujii et al. (U.S. Patent 5,661,319).

Matsuda and Roh disclose all of the limitations except for the dielectric layers to be Ta₂O₅. Whereas Fujii discloses a capacitor (Fig. 1) with two dielectric layers formed of Ta₂O₅. Instead of the dielectric layers being made both of titanate compounds they can both also be made of tantalum pentoxide. Having both of the dielectric layers made of tantalum pentoxide allows them to act as a diffusion barrier, which prevents the diffusion of silicon into the dielectric film. (Column 3, lines 47-53) Since Matsuda, Roh and Fujii are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Fujii would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Matsuda and Roh. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the capacitor of Matsuda and Roh by incorporating two dielectric layers made of tantalum pentoxide to prevent the diffusion of silicon into the dielectric film as taught by Fujii.

Art Unit: 2822

Claim 59 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuda and Roh as applied to claim 56 above, and further in view of Park et al. (U.S. Patent 5,780,115).

Matsuda and Roh disclose all of the limitations except for one of the electrodes to comprise titanium nitride. Whereas Park discloses a capacitor (Fig. 3) that contains titanium nitride electrodes (15/19) with a dielectric layer (17) therebetween. The electrodes are made of titanium nitride in order to reduce the oxide grown between the electrode and dielectric layer therefore reducing the thickness of the dielectric material. (Column 1, lines 51-65) Since Matsuda, Roh and Park are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Park would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Matsuda and Roh. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the capacitor of Matsuda and Roh by incorporating one of the electrodes to be titanium nitride to reduce the oxide grown between the electrode and dielectric layer therefore reducing the thickness of the dielectric material as taught by Park.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 38,42-43 and 46-53 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claims 38,42-43 and 46-53 are allowable because prior art does not show alone or in combination along with the limitations of the independent claim such as a composite of two immediately juxtaposed and contacting, yet discrete, layers of the identical capacitor

Art Unit: 2822

dielectric composition comprising a member selected from the group consisting of a strontium titanate, a strontium bismuth titanate, a lead lanthanate zirconia titanate and a mixture thereof.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 38,42-43,46-53 and 56-59 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiesha L. Rose whose telephone number is 703-605-4212. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00 off 2nd Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2822

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

KLR

AMIR ZARABIAN

PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800